about:blank

NATIONAL CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION NEW DELHI

FIRST APPEAL NO. 233 OF 2019

(Against the Order dated 17/12/2018 in Complaint No. 225/2018 of the State Commission Uttaranchal)

1. OMAXE CHANDIGARH EXTENSION DEVELOPERS PVT. LTD. & ANR. THROUGH DIRECTOR/ CHAIRMAN/ MANAGING DIRECTOR, SCO NO 139-140, 1 FLOORM SECTOR 8-C, MADHYA MARG CHANDIGARH 160008 2. OMAXE LTD THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR/CHARMAN/ MANAGING DIRECTOR, OMAXE HOUSE 10, LSC KALKAJI NEW DELHI 110019

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

1. JUGAL KISHORE SETH S/O. SH. RAM GOPAL SETH, R/O. NF 44 QUILLA MOHALLA JALANDHAR 2. -

_.

.....Respondent(s)

BEFORE:

HON'BLE MR. SUBHASH CHANDRA,PRESIDING MEMBER HON'BLE DR. SADHNA SHANKER,MEMBER

FOR THE APPELLANT :	MR SUNIL MUND AND MR VENDANT MUND, ADVOCATES
FOR THE RESPONDENT :	MS KASHISH KHURANA, PROXY COUNSEL FOR
	MR JUGAL KISHOR SETH, ADVOCATE – AUTHORITY LETTER

Dated : 02 November 2023

ORDER ORDER (ORAL)

1. The present appeal has been filed against the judgment dated 17.12.2018 of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, UT Chandigarh (in short, 'the State Commission') in Consumer Case no. 225 of 2018.

2. The relevant facts of the case in brief are that in August 2014 Mr Sandeep Bhalla and Smt Monika Bhalla (original allottees) applied for allotment of flat bearing no. TLC/ Victoria -A/ Fourth 401 in "the Lake" in the residential project "Omaxe New Chandigarh" developed on a portion of land situated at New Chandigarh, District SAS Nagar, Punjab for a total sale consideration of Rs.70,90,556/-. On 20.12.2014, an allotment letter/ buyer agreement was

about:blank

forwarded to the original allottees for signature. On 20.08.2015 the original allottees and the respondent herein undertook that the development/ construction period of the unit as per the agreement shall be reckoned with effect from the date of endorsement and no compensation for any delay in the offer of possession would be claimed. The unit was endorsed in favour of the respondent on 20.08.2015 by the appellant. The appellant had undertaken to complete the project within 42 months plus six months grace period. However, no agreement was signed between the parties. The respondent sought refund on the ground of deficiency in service by way of a consumer complaint before the State Commission.

3. Between May 2016 to March 2018 the respondent made payments totalling to Rs.50,73,563.93 in instalments with delays after several reminders and pre-cancellation notices were sent. The respondent admittedly stays abroad and at his request, the execution of allotment was withheld till 12.04.2018. The respondent approached the State Commission and prayed for refund of the money paid with interest and compensation on various heads and costs of litigation.

4. Upon contest, the State Commission vide its impugned order dated 17.12.2018 partly accepted the complaint with cost and directed the opposite parties to jointly and severally as under:

- i. To refund the amount of Rs.50,73,563.93 to the complainant alongwith interest @ 12% per annum from the respective dates of purchase/ transfer of the unit onwards;
- ii. To pay compensation in the sum of Rs. 1.00 lakh for causing mental agony and physical harassment, to the complainant, as also escalation in prices;
- iii. To pay cost of litigation, to the tune of Rs.33,000/- to the complainants;
- iv. The payment of awarded amounts mentioned at sr nos.(i) and (iii) shall be made within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which the amount mentioned at sr no. (i) thereafter shall carry penal interest @ 14% per annum instead of 12% per annum from the date of default and interest @ 12% per annum on the amounts mentioned at Sr no. (ii) and (iii) from the date of filing of this complaint, till realisation.

However, it is made clear that, if the complainant in the aforesaid cases have availed loan facility from any banking or financial institution, for making payment of instalments towards the said unit, it will have the first charge of the amount payable to the extent, the same is due to be paid by them (complainants).

5. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent on authority and have carefully perused the record.

6. Learned counsel for the appellant states that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been directing refund of the amount with 9% interest instead of 12% and the appellants have deposited a sum of Rs.65,79,700/- with this Commission on 23.09.2019 including the deposit received with interest @ 9%. He also stated that the Hon'ble Supreme Court and this Commission in catena of judgments has ordered refund of amounts at the rate of 9% deposited in such case with compensation in the form of interest.

about:blank

7. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Experion Developers Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Sushma Ashok Shiroor*, CA No. 6044 of 2019 decided on 07.04.2022, in *DLF Homes Panchkula Pvt. Ltd. Vs. D.S. Dhanda*, CA Nos. 4910-4941 of 2019 decided on 10.05.2019 has laid down that interest payable should be restitutionary and also compensatory and paid from the date of deposit. It was also held that interest of 9% is fair and just compensation. As regard the award of compensation of Rs.1.00 lakh, the Hon'ble Supreme Court *DLF Homes Panchkula Pvt. Ltd. Vs. D.S. Dhanda*, CA Nos. 4910-4941 of 2019 decided on 10.05.2019 has held that multiple reliefs for a singular default is not justified.

8. Proxy counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent with authority letter has argued that the order of the State Commission be upheld since it had ordered the admitted deficiency of the appellant and had directed refund with compensation and other reliefs. It was argued that the amount be released to the respondent at an early date.

9. In view of the foregoing facts and circumstances of the case we allow the appeal and order as under:

- a. The amount of Rs.50,73,563.93 paid by the respondent towards the consideration of the flat shall be refunded to the respondent with compensation in the form of interest @ 9% per annum from the respective dates of deposit till 23.09.2019 when the decretal amount was deposited in this Commission;
- b. The amount of Rs.65,79,700/- already deposited by the appellant with this Commission shall be adjusted in the final amount to be released;
- c. Amount of Rs.1.00 lakh as compensation awarded by the State Commission is set aside;
- d. Litigation cost of Rs.33,000/- shall be paid to the respondent; and
- e. This order shall be complied with within four weeks.
- 10. Pending IAs, if any, are also disposed of with this order.

SUBHASH CHANDRA PRESIDING MEMBER

DR. SADHNA SHANKER MEMBER